

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,514	12/09/2005	Christopher J Murray	GC777-US	8310
7590 0327/2008 Kamrin T Macknight Genencor International			EXAMINER	
			AUDET, MAURY A	
925 Page Mill Palo Alto, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/27/2009	DADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/528.514 MURRAY ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MAURY AUDET 1654 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 March 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/528,514 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Claims 1-10, drawn to a binding peptide, drawn to distinct peptides.
- Claim 11-17, drawn to a binding peptide conjugate, drawn to distinct peptides linked to distinct agents.
- III. Claims 18-20, drawn to an enzymatic composition comprising binding peptide, drawn to distinct peptides, an enzyme and one or more surfactants.
- IV. Claims 21-25, drawn to a method for modifying a tea or wine stain on a fabric or a surface comprising contacting a fabric or a surface having the same, enzymatic composition comprising binding peptide, drawn to distinct peptides, an enzyme and one or more surfactants.
- V. Claims 26-28, drawn to a method for delivering an agent to a target comprising conjugating an agent with a binding peptide to form a binding peptide conjugate and exposing a target to the binding peptide conjugated, so allow for binding to said target.
- VI. Claims 29, 31, drawn to a polynucleotide sequence encoding a binding peptide, drawn to distinct peptides.
- VII. Claim 30, drawn to a polynucleotide sequence encoding a binding peptide conjugate, drawn to distinct peptides linked to distinct agents..
- VIII. Claim 32, drawn to an expression vector comprising a polynucleotide sequence encoding

Application/Control Number: 10/528,514 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

a binding peptide.

 Claim 33, drawn to an expression vector comprising a polynucleotide sequence encoding a binding peptide conjugate.

- Claims 34, drawn to a host cell comprising an expression vector comprising a
 polynucleotide sequence encoding a binding peptide.
- XI. Claims 35, drawn to a host cell an expression vector comprising a polynucleotide sequence encoding a binding peptide conjugate.

Lack of Unity

An international and a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories: (1) a product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or (2) a product and a process of use of said product; or (3) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or (4) a process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or (5) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process. If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each of the other categories related thereto will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT Article 17(3)(a) and 1.476(c).

Peptide Markush Group-Lack of Unity

The inventions are independently drawn to a markush group of distinct peptides. For the members of a Markush group to have unity of invention, all members must have a common core Application/Control Number: 10/528,514

Art Unit: 1654

structure or be a member of an art recognized class. Neither of the above applies to the peptides of the present invention (see e.g. SEQ ID NO: 1 drawn to KTPSPHG verus SEQ ID NO: 2 drawn to LWTSPQL - zerto core structure therebetween). Thus, the Markush groups, and hence Inventions drawn thereto, lack unity of invention. (See Annex B to PCT Administrative Instructions, P. A1-59).

Requirement for a Peptide (or Nucleic Acid Ecoding Same) Election as the Invention

As described above, the distinct peptides do not contain any, let alone even a substantial, distinguishable core structure/sequence that runs through them respectively. Thus an individual sequence and/or structure search is required of each compound of the invention. Therefore, irrespective of which Group is elected as the invention, Applicant must elect a single peptide sequence (e.g. SEQ ID N: 1), as the invention, to which the elected Invention group will be searched.

This requirement is not to be taken as an election of species, but rather as an election of a single invention, since each compound is assumed to be a patentably distinct invention, in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CRF 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the Application/Control Number: 10/528,514

Art Unit: 1654

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

In re Ochiai/Brouwer Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104, Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability in including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In Cohiat, In reference and 35 U.S.C. \\$ 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maury Audet whose telephone number is 571-272-0960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 7AM-5:30PM (10 Hrs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,514 Page 6

Art Unit: 1654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MA, 3/20/2008

/Maury Audet/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654